

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/542,866	04/04/2000	Kenji Hirasawa	Q58719	6171
759	07/01/2003			
Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue Washington, DC 20037-3202			LEE, SEUNG H	
washington, DC	, 20037-3202			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	
				:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>					
	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/542,866	HIRASAWA, KENJI			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Seung H Lee	2876			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 05 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-25</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed		disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>					
		KARL D. FRECH PRIMARY EXAMINER			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)	Advisory Action	Part of Paper No. 24			

Çontinuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Re claim 21: The phrases "a shutter plate, disposed on a side of said card entrance" in line 7, " said closing position and said opening position of said shutter plate being located at the side of said card entrance" in lines 9-11 raise new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Takahashi et al. [US 4,994,658] and Ohwa et al. [US 5,850,079] still meets the claimed invention.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 21-25 remain rejected as set forth in the Final Rejection (see Paper NO.19)...